

RE 09/973,710

May 20, 2004

Dear Dr. Gagliardi:

Per our telephone conversation on May 18, enclosed please find a check of \$55 for the request of one-month extension of the inventors' response to your notification of action (PTO-892) dated January 21, 2004. Enclosed please also find the revised specification of, 09/973,710, which follows the guidelines you specified in your PTO-892, as well as the PTO laws and rules you listed in the aforesaid PTO-892.

In the revised specification of 09/973/710:

- (1) Claim 3 and 10 are deleted:
- Claim 4 becomes 3, 5 becomes 4, 6 becomes 5, 7 becomes 6, 8 becomes 7, and 9 becomes 8;
- (3) Fig. 3 is modified and numbered as Fig. 2; and Fig. 2 is numbered as Fig. 3;
- (4) The wording of the revised claims is modified to make them more comprehensible.

We appreciate your sending the "pertinent" granted patents, which seem to serve as samples of proper patent writing, not as potential blockades against the patent application 09/973,710.

Sincerely,

Inventor: Ken K. Chin